

The Calloused Digit

by
Frederick Meekins
Issue #8

Oration Proves The Extent To Which Michelle Obama Undermines American Liberty

It could be justifiably argued that academic commencement addresses are pretty much a waste of time. If people were honest, nobody usually gives a hoot about what is being said. Most are simply in attendance to hear their name or see a loved one walk across the stage and then they are off to either a family dinner or party.

Yet, in the past, a number of commencement addresses have provided a bit of an historical snapshot into the perspectives of the foremost leaders and thinkers of the respective time. Perhaps the most prominent that comes to mind is none other than Winston Churchill's describing the advancement of the Soviet bloc across Europe as an Iron Curtain.

Likewise, though for considerably less auspicious reasons, First Lady Michelle Obama's Oberlin College commencement oration provides considerable insight into our own political era. The speech also serves as evidence that the First Lady is hardly the first rate intellect propagandists have made her out to be.

The Gettysburg Address begins, "Four score and seven years ago." The Declaration of Independence begins, "We hold these truths to be self evident."

Not every piece of public rhetoric is going to stir the soul with such inspiration. But with the opening of "Hi! How are you all doing?", it is obvious that the First Lady didn't even bother to try.

It's not like there is probably all that much going on in Michelle Obama's brain to begin with. For despite all of the wisdom that a graduation speaker of her status can attempt to impart to the assembled before her, she launches into the same manner of tirade she and her consort Barack have invoked throughout the course of his presidency to manipulate those mesmerized by them into surrendering whatever it is that the couple desires.

For nearly the first thing out of her mouth beyond that idiotic greeting that reminds one more of the quack doctor Nick Riviera on the Simpsons rather than a leader worthy of any kind of admiration was in essence the First Couple's usual pronouncement of "Look at me. I'm Black. And you are racist if you

don't comply with our demands no matter how ridiculous or outlandish they might be."

Her proclamation was not articulated that way exactly. However, that categorization was an accurate summary of what did follow.

The First Lady pointed out that Oberlin was the first college in America to view Blacks and women as legitimate students. However, seldom are the Obama's interested in history that does not either further their agenda or manipulate spineless Whites into compliance.

For in her commencement oration, the First Lady did not reference this historic fact for the purposes of reminding what the individual is capable of through the processes of scholastic advancement and personal improvement. Rather, Michelle Obama proceeded to harp upon the necessity of compliance with the collective and agitation on the part of the herd mentality.

The First Lady warned, "And the truth is, graduates, after four years of thoughtful, respectful discussion and debate here at Oberlin...you might find yourself a little dismayed by the clamor outside of these walls --- the name calling, the negative ads, the folks yelling at each other on TV. After being surrounded by people who are so dedicated to serving others and making the world a better place, you might feel a little discouraged by the polarization and gridlock that too often characterize our politics and civic life."

Maybe so. But if the world outside the campus is discordant and filled with conflict, those embracing the worldview and policies of the First Lady are just as guilty (if not even more so) for making it that way.

One particular question raised by those turning a critical mind to Michelle Obama's diatribe is on what grounds are things obligated to be as the First Lady prefers them?

In the portion of the speech just quoted, Frau Obama rhetorically crafts the impression that those not relenting to the social vision of leftwing academics somehow do not want to serve others or

make the world a better place. This is especially relevant when the assembled she is addressing rank among the foremost in insisting that absolute standards do not exist or are determined by the prevailing demagogue of the moment.

Frau Obama continued, "...you don't get to be...cautious or cynical." The question must be asked, "And what if we are?"

For what the First Lady is saying when she invokes the words "cautious" and "cynical" is that you are not to question the social engineering directives when these are handed down by elites. Your's is not to reason why; your's is but to do or die.

To the likes of Obama and related totalitarians, the ideal is best visualized in a scene from the movie "Conan The Barbarian".

In the particular sequence, Thulsa Doom (played by James Earl Jones) signals to one of his cult followers to come to him immediately. The deluded acolyte doesn't descend the winding staircase. Instead, without hesitation, the doomed soul voluntarily plunges to his death gleefully to satisfy the whim of his master and false god.

Frau Obama reflected, "Are you planning to rally for marriage equality on the steps of the Supreme Court? I certainly hope so." She continued, "Just think about the folks who are winning those battles...to ensure that everyone in this country can marry the person they love. Think about how just 10 years ago, gay marriage was legal in just one state...and today it is legal..."

And wasn't her husband at the time numbered among those that counseled against the recognition of such illicit unions? If so, shouldn't he be removed from office in the same manner as the Mozilla executive that did nothing more subversive than contribute to a referendum initiative that opposed gay marriage before the matter became part of the settled orthodoxy few possess the courage to question for fear of what will happen to their lives, their fortunes, and their sacred honor?

What is it, then, that makes these positions right or wrong? Is it the whims of the mob?

If so, those opposing the capriciousness of the First Lady are well within their rights to be as cynical and cautious as they want to be. For there exists no basis of rationality from which the First Lady can legitimately launch her criticisms and assaults.

Are these principles grounded in some kind of basis that will outlast the arbitrary tyranny unfolding around us (gentler as it might be at the moment but which Friedrich Hayek warned would grow

increasingly violent as the policies imposed would grow increasingly at odds with human nature)? If so, those that the First Lady and her devotees would rather intimidate into silence are obligated in the name of higher truth to avail themselves of every moral means to defend such eternal verities.

In the Obamaist perspective, the individual exists as little more than grist for the elites to grind down as they see fit. The First Lady fondly recalled, "Think about those elections in 2008 and 2012 when idealistic young people ... worked for hours for little money and less sleep ... Think about the millions of folks who got out to vote on Election Day, waiting in the cold and rain in lines that stretched for hours, refusing to leave until they made their voices heard."

And for what? Did the First Lady endure similar suffering and deprivation?

If anything, she made out like a bandit. During the couple's occupation of the White House, they have taken multiple high-priced vacations, flown in pizza chefs from Chicago, and procured evening gowns costing thousands upon thousands of dollars.

Under the rule of the Obamas, most Americans (especially those that did not vote for Barack to begin with) have had the enjoyment of their own petty lives significantly curtailed. For whereas in the age prior to skyrocketing fuel and food costs one might have gone to a place like Walmart quite regularly, now it seems one might get to such an establishment about four times per year.

Towards the conclusion of her oration, Frau Obama declared, "And I want to be clear: Every ordinance, every ballot measure, every law on the books in this country --- that is your concern."

That sounds noble and inspirational upon an initial hearing. For example, if some corrupt backwoods sheriff deprives someone of a different color of their constitutional protections elaborated upon in the Bill Of Rights, it ought to bother you whether you are from New York City or the cotton fields of Georgia. But just how deeply do you want people from other parts of the country probing into the nuts and bolts mechanics of your local government or even way of life?

For example, those in more liberal areas such as New York City or San Francisco might assume that it is their business what children in Appalachia are taught regarding evolution and creation science. But conversely, should Rednecks have an appreciable say as to whether or not someone should be allowed to walk down the streets of such urban centers brandishing so-called "assault weapons" without the

police being allowed to say one thing whatsoever to such individuals?

Towards the conclusion of her oration, Michelle Obama admonished, "Make sure the folks who represent you share your values and aspirations." Ironically, it is through compliance with that very

axiom that true patriots must continue to expose this First Couple for what they are as their regime draws to a close and why Americans must remain vigilant as Barack and Michelle will no doubt continue to undermine our freedoms from the shadows of private life once they leave office.

Random Observations

Civil War video games are no longer available through a number of a number of online retailers. It is claimed such forms of recreation are "mean spirited". Yet the ones where you shoot police officers, hijack automobiles, and hump street whores in the backseat are no doubt still available as a testament to the extent to which the nation values free expression.

If Southern Baptists are obligated to renounce all things Southern as a gesture of inclusive good faith to prove how welcoming the denomination is to all people, does the African Methodist Episcopal Church intend to drop the descriptor of "African" from its name and to conduct outreach to Whites by fawning over how wonderful Caucasians and Caucasian culture are?

When clicking to watch a Christian video on Youtube, site administrators ought to respect the ministries utilizing the service enough to place before the content a commercial for something other than a so-called "invisible condom".

One has been decapitated and over a dozen injured in a terrorist attack at a French fuel refining facility. Does Amazon intend to ban products bearing Islamist symbols similar to those hoisted by the Jihadists during the assault?

Eric Boling on the Five has celebrated retailers banning the sale of Confederate paraphernalia and memorabilia as a triumph of the free market system. But is this the triumph of unfettered capitalism or yet another example of fascistic elites imposing their iron-fisted social engineering? In a free market system, wouldn't those that desire Confederate merchandise be allowed to purchase all that they desired? For is that not the doctrine of the faction of Fox News analysts that extol the economic above all other social spheres when it comes to things such as hard liquor, legalized narcotics, and debauched entertainment?

In his analysis of violent upheavals in Baltimore and

Ferguson, Malik Shabazz counseled that those destroying property and rampaging in the streets still needed to be loved. So why isn't he as magnanimous when it comes to his endorsement of a plan to kill any White person in sight irrespective of whether or not they bear responsibility for the terrorist assault upon the Charleston church?

If a colon test advertised on the radio is a noninvasive smear of digestive effluent, why is one required to have a prescription for it?

The gays have accomplished for now what decades and centuries ago most would have considered impossible. It is now our turn to plant seeds and warp minds in a long game where this cultural outrage can be one day overturned either reformatively or constitutionally

Perhaps the Southern Baptist Convention should be abandoned for the establishment of a Confederate Baptist Convention or a Confederate League Of Christian Churches where people of all backgrounds will be welcomed but that will not put up with the bashing of traditional Americanism or White folks as seems to be becoming an epidemic in many allegedly orthodox and conservative churches. It was said on a news special designed to work everyone into a terror over summer weather claimed that interest in survival training is at an all time high. Now doubt because of a love of nature. Likely nothing to do whatsoever with rampaging inner city mobs, the abolition of the Confederate flag, and the federal recognition of sodomite matrimony.

A news program designed to work everyone up into a terror over summer weather listed the equipment one ought to take during a trek through the wilderness. Shouldn't a firearm have also been on the list?

A news program designed to work viewers up into a terror over summer weather revealed that the hottest days of the year occur during the season. That

statements has got to be a contender for the No “You No What” Sherlock Award

On “It’s Academic”, Hillary Howard is in a sleeveless blouse. In his decades hosting, did Mack McGary ever appear on the program sleeveless?

In a panel discussion at the Southern Baptist Convention, ethicist Russell Moore admonished that Christian parents shouldn’t be ashamed of their gay children. That is, because Moore went on to assure, most gays aren’t like the activists depicted in the media. Does Moore intend to extend the same broadminded charity to Christians that refuse to take down the Confederate flag or refuse to give up Conservative talk radio? Moore has heaped homiletical condemnation and mockery upon Christians that disagreed with his leftist inclinations regarding these cultural practices.

It might not be for some time to come. However, there will eventually be a movement of to get the Supreme Court to legalize cannibalism as a human right. The court has already ruled similarly in favor of other assorted unthinkable depravities. The edict will be handed down either in regard to some form of human flesh grown in a lab or of dissidents no longer categorized as being fully human. Just recently, global warming critics were described by a federal functionary as “abnormal”, perhaps one of the greatest insults that can be applied in a system that values group conformity and the collective over that of the

individual.

Univision removed the Miss USA pageant from its programming schedule over Donald Trump’s insightful observation that the swarms of illegals pouring over America’s borders aren’t exactly the cream of the crop in terms of human capital. In response, Trump has threatened to sue the network. But how is the removal of Trump’s beauty pageant from the airwaves markedly different than his demand that the Confederate flag be removed from public display?

A number of Republicans are being pressured to refund campaign contributions from an organization known as the Council of Conservative Citizens because of the group’s less than enthusiastic endorsement of interracial relationships. Should Democrats be forced to return donations from the variety of ethnosupremacist front groups drawn to that party’s ideology of heavy-handed statist interventionism such as the NAACP and La Raza? And what of potential contributors to Democrats actually linked to advocating revolutionary violence such as CAIR or the Occupy Movement? FBI files reveal that Obama advisor Valerie Jarret has a Communist pedigree that can be traced back for decades. Therefore, shouldn’t concerns be raised over Obama’s questionable links as rigorously as Ted Cruz’s or even Mike Huckabee speaking before an event with shady sponsorship.

Hardline Homeschoolers Hint Jurassic World Lures Children Into Idolatry

It was observed in a Christian podcast on media consumption that, if children watch a movie Friday night, in all likelihood they will want to watch a movie on Saturday night as well.

And the problem is?

Most people are awake between 12 and 18 hours per day.

Does all of that time need to be focused on direct Bible study or related religious exercises?

This is an especially valid question if they are going to get a big dose of church the next day anyway.

It was suggested in this Christian podcast that, if children talk more about Jurassic World than the

“things of God”, this is possibly a symptom that they might be slipping into idolatry.

But could it also be that God designed children to be more fascinated by dinosaurs than potluck suppers or lengthy meditative expositions where they are ordered to sit with heads bowed and eyes closed pretending to have a conversation where honestly the deity does not respond directly?

Call me a heathen, but a T-rex battling it out with a velociraptor is just more exciting than a lengthy exposition on someone’s mechanic’s accountant’s taxidermist’s bunion removal.

Will Crypto-Progressives Undermine Fundamentalism's Patriotic Nature?

Attempting to maintain their affectation that they are too cool for school (or perhaps in their case church), the pastoral council of Berean Baptist Church in Fayetteville, North Carolina in a SermonAudio podcast weighed in once again on the assorted flag debates breaking out across America.

Previously, the leadership of this congregation in the heart of Dixie came out firmly against the Confederate Flag.

However, this headlong march into a globalist progressivism did not stop there.

For the pastor, who doesn't seem to mind shoving mention of his distinguished military career in your face when he thinks the invocation of such should earn him some due deference, mentioned that he was not too keen on Christians swearing allegiance to the American flag either.

In his tirade, the minister propagated the impression that Old Glory does not necessarily represent the higher values upon which the nation rests but rather whatever regime might be holding power at any given moment.

But even Christians now trying to get their priorities in order while retaining a place of honor for the American flag but in subordination to the Christian flag are not immune from this particular church's derision and contempt.

In the analysis of a church that flies the Christian flag in this manner, it was snorted that doing so might cause offense and that God does not need a flag.

Should an activist Jew travel by Pastor Sean Harris' church and not want to be bothered by the sight of a steeple, should his congregation rush to take that symbol down as well to eagerly comply with the tyranny closing in around them?

After all, God doesn't require a steeple either.

There is nothing in Scripture about churches holding expansive properties rivaling some shopping centers or even small amusement parks in size.

God is perfectly fine with small bands meeting in tiny churches or even catacombs.

Does that mean Pastor Harris and his dutiful sidekicks are going to gleefully applaud the seizure of their building for the establishment of an atheist museum as occurred in the case of the former Soviet Union or perhaps the erection of a gay pride center which might be more fitting in light of the particular variety of carnality and licentious unbelief epidemic to this particular moment in history?

One of the assistant pastors confessed that he was not comfortable pledging to a Christian flag either.

Then shouldn't we be leery of making all sorts of church membership vows and pledges when these are mentioned no where in the pages of Scripture?

Perhaps one of the most interesting things about a church that is taking such a public stance against the American flag is that on its SermonAudio profile page there is a picture of that church building where there isn't simply a single tasteful flagpole with the national ensign flying in front of the church but rather at least four or five American flags.

It was argued in the exposition in condemnation of flags that a sanctuary should be laid out and adorned in such a fashion that a Christian from a foreign land (Palestine was given as an example) would not be offended by any potential Americana such as the flag.

Christian or not, if a Palestinian comes into an American church and gets jacked out of shape at explicitly American paraphernalia, he can slink back to his Third World terrorist-sympathizer excrement pile.

Is Huckabee Any More Egotistical Than Pastors Complaining About His Ego?

The pastoral round table of the Berean Baptist Church in Fayetteville, North Carolina snidely remarked in a podcast posted at SermonAudio that Mike Huckabee was only running for name recognition and had no chance of winning the presidency.

That raises a number of observations.

I'm not much of a Huckabee fan.

I possess an aversion to those that insist that the government should track the weight of your children in the name of national security when they themselves

possess progeny pushing the 300 pound mark.

However, according to these pastors, are we to take away the impression that whether or not we attempt something should be determined by standards of earthly success?

Secondly, which of the candidates isn't running for some degree of recognition?

What in life isn't done in pursuit of that if only from one other person or even from God Almighty?

When you come down to it, one of the primary reasons people reproduce is so that their name will

continue on after they are dead.

Name recognition, in part, is why many get involved in ministry.

Sure, there is the desire to spread the Gospel.

But doesn't even this church posting the comments in question have its name attached as well as those of the participating pastors?

Certain Evangelicals Overreact To AD Miniseries

In an analysis of the AD miniseries, Pastor Randy White on the "Standing For The Truth" podcast split a theological hair so fine that is doubtful that even an angel could dance across it. He insisted that a drama or a painting of a Gospel event is not a presentation of the Gospel.

At best, according to White, such is merely the presentation of an historical event even if integral to the Gospel. As justification, White invoked the Apostle Paul whom White alleges did not rehash these events but went on to emphasize Christ crucified and risen as the Savior of the world.

St. Francis is attributed with saying preach the Gospel and if you must use words. Though with the anti-Catholic attitude often elicited in the consideration of this drama, it is doubtful his admonition will be positively considered.

An important question to consider here is what is this pastor really concerned about. At times, it sounds primarily to be about the job security of the professional clergy and their relevance in the context of the missiological encounter.

No balanced person in favor of the series is saying that exposure to the drama is all that is required to drag the soteriological ball into the end zone of salvation.

If God did not intend our faith to be founded on a basis of history, why were we given the Gospels?

Perhaps of even greater curiosity would be why bother with vast stretches of the Old Testament at all?

For while many Christians insist that they abide by all of Scripture, they'd probably tell you that while scarfing down pork barbecue with a big mess of crabs.

Pastor White insists that, in terms of outreach, the believer is better off going to one's neighbor and sharing what Christ has done in your life.

That might have been an effective approach in a previous era. However, for better or worse, this is an era where the visual will likely have as much impact on the individual as the conversationally verbal.

Furthermore, I am not particularly all that interested in what Jesus has allegedly done for you per se, especially if there is little chance of distinguishing that from transient indigestion (the old Mormon burning in the bosom) or fluctuating biochemistry.

I for one, if I was an unbeliever, would be more concerned if the objective historical accounts detailing the Crucifixion and Resurrection actually happened and the conclusions drawn by the eyewitnesses and their immediate contemporaries universally binding irrespective of one's temporal circumstances.

In his exposition, Pastor White asserted that dramatic presentation of these events are just so problematic in that the possibility exists for the director, playwright, or screenwriter to leave things out or to embellish that which ought to be downplayed.

But isn't that also true of sermons and testimonies as well?

What pastor hasn't invoked Scripture in ways to buttress their own opinion or interpretation to appear as if it was handed down at Sinai as part of the stone tablets?

Perhaps an even greater danger are those eagerly plying the techniques of the "Look What Jesus Has Done For Me" School Of Evangelism.

That approach might be able to draw in a number of the hurting.

But sometimes those accounts are so fanciful that a fledgling faith or even one that is longstanding could suffer profound harm if the individual exposed to these stories does not experience the intervention of

God in such a tangibly overpowering or life-altering manner.

Though a single sin is sufficient to alienate the individual from God for eternity, not everyone's life was as screwed up as the average drug addict, wife beater, or pornstar.

Granted, those that have not fallen into these temptations should not go around like the proud Pharisee displaying for applause how grateful they are that they are not like other men.

But that said, shouldn't the church also be just as cautious in the other extreme that lavishes increasing rewards and benefits such as book deals and speaking engagements upon the repentant reprobate that can craft the most titillating tale of carnality and debauchery provided Jesus rushes in at the last second to rescue the teller from utter damnation?

With the brand of Christianity most openly opposed to the AD Miniseries, there is no winning.

Such critics seem to enjoy playing an unending game of vocational gotcha in condemnation of those not part of the ministerial in crowd.

For example, it is claimed rightfully so that all talents should be utilized in honor of the Lord and for the furtherance of His kingdom in pursuit of the lost.

However, as soon as a believer or even those inclined to a traditional brand of spirituality attempt to do so through a modality or medium that might be morally acceptable but which might not be suited for a traditional church service, these hypertraditionalists rank among the first to poopoo such artistic efforts.

Pastor Randy White in the broadcast went out of his way to denigrate the accompanying DVD and online studies released in conjunction with the miniseries.

He insisted that the true pastor needs nothing more than his Bible, and not the Internet, to prepare a sufficient sermon addressing these kinds of matters.

Interestingly, was it not the Internet that Pastor White turned to to warn those beyond the boundaries of his own congregation of the allure of seductive entertainments?

In criticism of the AD miniseries, often those the most rigorously opposed have seemed to have more to say about those either producing or promoting the drama than about the actual contents of the narrative.

For example, of particular concern is not only the Roman Catholicism of Roma Downey but that the particular strand that she is an adherent of borders

upon the New Age in terms of its beliefs and practice.

But ought the primary concern to be instead the extent to which these might have infiltrated the dramatic presentation?

Pastor White and the Standing For The Truth hosts were noticeably critical of reputable Evangelicals that decided to promote or endorse the miniseries.

Particular ire was directed at pastor and Christian broadcaster David Jeremiah.

White insisted that any good David Jeremiah might have accomplished is undermined and perhaps even nullified by the questionable alliances and affiliations promoting this production.

Does this also apply to Pastor White in terms of his questionable affiliations as well?

At his website, Pastor White admits to being a Southern Baptist though a disgruntled one.

Does he not trust God enough to come out of and to be ye separate if that is the advice he seems to bestow upon everybody else?

Admittedly, the AD miniseries was far from perfect.

However, in this day where the culture is declining more and more to resemble the era in which this disputed narrative takes place, one would think certain Evangelicals would be a bit more pleased that there are a few in the entertainment industry at least willing to consider the only cure for this spreading decadence even if they do not agree to the details around the edges.

Will Church Hopping Send You To Hell?

In a Youtube video, a pastor claimed that church hopping is a sin because it is God that places individuals in particular churches.

Then who is to say that it is not God that prompts individuals to go elsewhere?

As justification for his position condemning the practice of going from church to church, the pastor invoked the passage in Matthew 7:23 where Christ says, "I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity."

The pastor insisted that the issue was not that those surrendered to eternal damnation did not believe in Christ as Lord and Savior but rather that these

workmen were ministering where they had not been assigned.

The pastor further taught that the individual believer is not cleared to find another church until God tells the PASTOR that it is time for you to leave.

And I guess, when the pastor tells you to drink the funny-smelling Kool Aid that burns as it goes down, you are expected to remain in the church for that as well.

Contrary to this podcast under consideration, if you leave one church to go to another, you shouldn't have to give an elaborate reason why.

Headline Potpourri #76

If a transgender person is mentally incapable of psychologically adapting to the body into which they have been created, what makes one think they can adapt to the traumas of military combat?

On an episode of his broadcast, Jim Bakker prophesied that this would be the last Fourth of July as we know it. Given that every day is composed of a unique set of contingencies and causalities, isn't that a little vague to insist upon as a prophecy?

Jim Bakker's call to stockpile food in light of pending calamity might seem more sincere if he wasn't the one selling the survival rations. In the commercial, it is insinuated that, with these provisions, the hoodwinked will be able to acquire enough to endure the Tribulation. So will countermeasures be sold to protect against starved zombie hordes and the Anti-Christ's electronic surveillance system likely to be roving the planet at that time?

Apparently Kate Steinle did not look enough like Mooch Obama to merit the sympathies of the White House.

Tolerancemongers are calling for the removal of a statue honoring the Confederacy in a park near the courthouse in Rockville, Maryland. It is said that the memorial is no longer needed and that the COMMUNITY should have a calm discussion to decide what should be done to resolve the issue. That translates roughly as anyone holding to a position other than the destruction of the monument is guilty of hatespeech and do not possess any rights that ought to be respected in a regime valuing diversity above all else. If history is no longer to be a reflection of what happened from a variety of perspectives but rather consist solely of social utilitarian propaganda that furthers the agenda of a prevailing elite, what is to prevent the arrival of a day when Black History Month would no longer be appropriate?

It is supposedly breaking news that a Univision poll (the network that is to illegal aliens what Al Jazeera is to jihadist sympathizers) that the majority of Hispanics don't like Trump. And what about polls of Whites in traditionally conservative areas?

In conclusion of Ramadan, President Obama praised Muslims that used the celebration to draw closer to

God. This was the very same President that at one time condemned Pennsylvanians in particular for clinging bitterly to their guns and their God.

The mass casualty incidents at a number of Chattanooga military installations are being categorized in certain instances as "terrorist inspired" rather than as an act of terrorism per say. Is this a semantics game designed to deny the attacked and their families benefits and recognition as was the decision in categorizing the Fort Hood attack as "workplace violence"?

Did Sojourners Magazine write gushing reviews of the minor character Ms. Marvel when the character was a White blond rather than a Central Asian Muslim?

"Christian" lesbian Chely Wright proclaims that straight White men lack empathy and kindness. And one supposes it was a humanitarianism that motivated the aspiring jihadist to open fire at a number of military facilities in the Chattanooga area taking the lives of four marines.

Isn't saying that the Confederacy fought only for slavery akin to saying that Martin Luther King marched for racial equality solely for the purposes of making it easier to facilitate extramarital affairs with White women?

According to Rick Warren, God only speaks to those that determine beforehand to do whatever it is that God asks. But what about the examples of Moses that struck the rock rather than speak to it for the purposes of extracting water and Jonah who ran away from Nineveh intentionally upon being instructed to go that reprobate metropolis?

The same ones chastising those for suggesting a person armed at the church might have prevented a greater loss of life at the Charleston Church are the same ones that will heap condemnation upon you if you stop going to church for fear of copycat violence.

Regarding the prepackaged meals Jim Bakker is peddling advertised to get the customer through the Tribulation. Are these dehydrated? What good will they do you when the water is turned to blood as prophesied during the Apocalypse?

John Kasich said, "If we weren't born to serve others, what were we born to do? Hopefully along with that admonition it was also explained that there is nothing wrong with financial compensation in exchange for such service, that service can be done on behalf of one's own family, and that most of the service rendered ought to take place within the context of one's paid employment. Usually when public figures talk like this, it is in defense of the compulsory COMMUNITY service scam.

Speaking before a convention of the Veterans Of Foreign Wars, President Obama assured that the Chattanooga jihadist would not fundamentally transform the American way of life. Obama has pretty much reserved that role for himself.

Chris Christie insists that he is the only candidate to have prosecuted terrorists. As governor, he was also quite lax in his stance against Islam.

Media propagandists are insisting that peddling fetal organs is an act of generosity rather than of commerce. So if a fugitive slave hunter engaged in that profession to uphold the law and the good of the social order, they ought to be applauded and only condemned if they were engaged in the pursuit in the hopes of securing the bounty?

If dealing in prenatal human organs is placed along the moral spectrum somewhere between neutral and positive, why can't someone make a profit from such transactions? Doesn't the revulsion most feel at this shocking news testify just how wrong this biomedical development happens to be?

It was suggested in a Christian podcast that, if children talk more about Jurassic World than the "things of God", this is possibly a symptom that they might be slipping into idolatry. But could it also be that God designed children to be more fascinated by dinosaurs than potluck suppers or lengthy meditative expositions where they are ordered to sit with heads bowed and eyes closed pretending to have a conversation where honestly the deity does not respond directly? Call me a heathen, but a T-rex battling it out with a velociraptor is just more exciting than a lengthy exposition on someone's mechanic's accountant's taxidermist's bunion removal.

It was observed in a Christian podcast on media

consumption that, if children watch a movie Friday night, in all likelihood they will want to watch a movie on Saturday night as well. And the problem is? Most people are awake between 12 and 18 hours per day. Does all of that time need to be focused on direct Bible study or related religious exercises? This is an especially valid question if they are going to get a big dose of church the next day anyway?

On The Five, Juan Williams denounced the documentarian exposing Planned Parenthood organ harvesters on the grounds that the video politicizes a profoundly personnel matter. So did he denounce the businesses that penalized Paul Deen for verbalizing with her husband a profound trauma in the privacy of their own home?

In an address to the Veterans Of Foreign Wars, President Obama spoke of the urgent need to eliminate the stigma surrounding mental illness. But in undermining the Second Amendment rights of those needing counseling as they transition back into civilian life and now proposing to take the protections of the Second Amendment from the elderly requiring clerical assistance to navigate the no doubt complicated Social Security system, hasn't his regime erected barriers that will spark a hesitancy among the afflicted that might otherwise seek help to return to optimal emotional well being.

It is claimed that murder rates along the border are less than American cities elsewhere. Is the media insisting that Black people are more prone to homicide than Hispanics?

Hillary Clinton points out that one of her merits and qualification for the presidency is that she is a woman. But has it been proven beyond a shadow of a doubt that Hillary doesn't possess a Y chromosome or a penis?

Apparently "good Catholic" Nancy Pelosi doesn't have much of a problem with organ harvesting.

A headline announced that Jeb Bush calls upon the GOP to embrace Latinos and African Americans. That translates as the patrician establishmentarian demands Whites beneath his class to be self-loathing and to applaud increases in crime and welfare checks.

In his book "Swords Into Plowshares", Ron Paul writes, "Religion has been hijacked by nonbelievers

and used to support war.” However, Christianity does not equal pacifism. The title of Paul's book is itself the hijacking of a Biblical principle for, lack of a better term, secular purposes. The reference is in regards to a characteristic of the Millennial Kingdom directly implemented by Christ Himself upon His return.. It is not something humans can ever achieve on their own no matter how pious or even redeemed they might be.

Those opposed to the Trump campaign for presidency have hypothesized that, should the billionaire win the office, he might apply his particular brand of verbal pugilism to various world leaders. As if the bowing and scraping of Obama's multi-year worldwide apology tour are wracking up an impressive number of foreign policy victories. Isn't an aversion to articulating the truth bluntly on the part of the diplomatic establishment responsible in good measure for the mess that the world is in today?

Mike Huckabee is being condemned for suggesting that Obama's Iranian nuclear agreement could possibly lead Israelis to the doors of the ovens. The allusion was to the horrors of the Holocaust. Elites have admonished that one should only refer to the Holocaust when referring to the Holocaust, meaning it should not be referenced in connection to other concerns or developments. So if we aren't to draw any lessons from the Holocaust applicable to other situations, what is the point of studying the Holocaust? Are the global elites reluctant for the average citizen to contemplate the role of these shadowy rulers in what is considered one of history's greatest atrocities so as to truly make good on the imperative of “Never again”?

So if precedent has been set that memorials that offend the sensibilities of riotous and subversive minorities can be vandalized with impunity, why is the Satanic idol on display in Detroit worthy of respect? And if Satanists view morals such as respect for property as an unacceptable imposition upon might making right, on what grounds can they invoke Christian principles should something disagreeable take place from the perspective of those embracing the most outright form of heathenism?

A fatal stabbing occurred at a church in Urbana, MD. Police assure that the suspect was taken into custody without incident. But what about the assault that led to the injury of a woman and the murder of her husband? Isn't that an “incident”? So apparently violence is only

appalling when directed against law enforcement. Will we now be subjected to continually social conditioning on the need to surrender our cutlery for the good of the COMMUNITY or do the victims insufficiently resemble the President?

In speaking about vandalism to a Confederate memorial near the court house in Rockville, MD, a government propagandist admonished that the statue was no longer appropriate because it is “no longer 1913” when the statue was erected. Using that logic, in the year 2913 if the prevailing consensus is that Blacks should be slaves and Jews ought to be herded into camps, one really doesn't have much of a moral leg to stand on.

In a podcast discussion on the infantilization of American youth, it was suggested that young people need to learn to serve. Fair enough. But as the discussion progressed, this Christian leader spoke of sending youth to camps where they would learn this lesson by shoveling manure. Would this labor be compensated at a fair market wage? If not, this just sounds like a scheme to swindle free labor out of people by slapping on a pious label to spiritually manipulate dimwitted parents. This Christian leader in his tirade said that, in his day, the church youth were sent to these religious work camps deep in the woods all summer to learn “how to die to self”. I don't know about back then in the particular instance referred to, but these days that is increasingly becoming a euphemism for being conditioned out of saying “no” to the carnal advances the youth pastor is probably making on those under his oversight in the shower house.

The Obama Regime is proposing that those that need assistance navigating the Social Security administration should be denied their Second Amendment Rights. Once the Second Amendment is taken away from such individuals, what protections will remain to prevent the Regime from handing down a related decree that those needing assistance navigating Social Security should also be denied healthcare because such individuals in decline are of diminishing social utility?

On The Five, Geraldo admonished that researchers were almost morally obligated to poke through the remains of discarded fetuses because the cures to horrible diseases such as cancer might be contained within such bio-slurry. To not take advantage of such

material at hand would otherwise be wasteful. Didn't Dr. Mengle make similar arguments?

In a podcast on the infantilization of the nation's youth, it was suggested that parents and the church had something of a Christian obligation to shatter the dreams of children that might not be good at something that they otherwise enjoy. But won't life kick them in the teeth soon enough anyway? Wouldn't it be wiser parenting to ensure that the youth have character enough to settle for a job they don't care for that they will be miserable at when what they had hoped for doesn't pan out?

In a discussion on the infantilization of the culture, it was suggested that those that cannot do so by human standards shouldn't be allowed to sing special numbers in church. But how is that attitude markedly different than those that select leaders on the basis of appearance or physical stature? If the person's heart is in the right place, what's so wrong with allowing them such a ministry from time to time? How do we not know God might enjoy that more than a highly trained performance artist? The churches certainly don't mind taking money from those not deemed "good enough". If those falling into such a category feel that they don't have a place in ministry, would those insisting that everything presented in church must be "just so", would they rather loose the individual to another congregation? Will these churches that pat themselves on the back for having done a righteous thing in their eyes by crushing someone's dreams also assist the individual in finding a ministry that the person finds spiritually satisfying other than dropping money into the collection plate?

Regarding these hardline disciplinarians and even freemarketeers that constantly gripe about peewee athletic leagues that give all the participants some kind of ribbon whether they are winners or not. Why shouldn't such a child receive some kind of recognition for showing up when there is nothing saying that they even have to show up in an era of expanded entertainment and recreational options. Because if these kids don't feel appreciated and recognized, what's to prevent them from just staying home and either playing X-Box or watching the hundreds of hours of quality on demand dramatic programming on TV?

If Donald Trump is a sexist pig of the first order according to Rolling Stone Magazine, doesn't that

make the women that romped in the sack with him willingly big time whores?

Regarding reports of Taliban leader Mullah Omar's alleged demise, fuss is being made that he had only one eye. Would it have been pointed out if he had an undescended testicle or suffered from erectile dysfunction? Is his ocular disability any more relevant than Hillary Clinton's bosom starting to wither and sag?

The Georgetown Safeway sponsoring a chicken wing eating contest will probably during the holiday season guilt-trip shoppers into contributing to a variety of homeless rackets.

It is warned that, following the death of Cecil the Lion, the next highest ranking male will likely slaughter Cecil's cubs in the attempt to manipulate the females into mating. So basically a pride of lions operates like an inner city ghetto.

From the classified ads in an issue of Analog Magazine, it seems most science fiction conventions run nearly \$100 per ticket. Some nerds must be raking in the money from somewhere. \$10 for a movie ticket makes me think twice as to whether or not I actually want to see a particular film.

On Gotham, if Detective Gordon's mentally unhinged wench Barbara doesn't turn out to be the mother of his daughter Barbara Gordon who goes on to become Batgirl and he does not marry another woman named "Barbara", both Detective Gordon and whoever eventually marries Jim Gordon have got to be crazier than the Joker and the Riddler put together.

Instructive. Huckabee's references to the Holocaust are condemned as distasteful but the remarks of the Iranian leadership expressing their desire for another of these atrocities are overlooked and downplayed.

Hillary Clinton pointed out that Planned Parenthood provides health services for women. And the Nazi party also provided soup lines for the destitute and recreational enrichment programs for youth.

A bill before consideration in Congress would still fund women's health services through Planned Parenthood. Would a men's organization that assisted men in evading their child support obligations continue to receive funds to provide men's genital

health? Perhaps an even more pertinent question to ask would be is there any organization funded by Congress specifically for the purposes of keeping men's plumbing pristine and functional? After all, why is it less of a tragedy for a man to die of pestilence-ridden privates than a woman?

Humus is just something for beatniks thinking themselves too good for dip to put on their chips or whatever it is such deadbeats snack on.

On Fox Business network's "Kennedy", Greg Gutfeld disdainfully sneered at those that pursued self-publishing. Not all of us can whore our books shamelessly during appearances on Fox News. Therefore, we use the technologies at our disposal to promulgate our wit and observations. In this instance, how is Gutfeld appreciably different than the Pope that the pundit badmouths for opposing a variety of innovations made available through the development of the free market system?

Regarding these celebrities that admonish how \$50,000 to hunt Cecil the Lion should have instead gone to charity. It is lamentable that such a creature was killed solely for sport (as with this catch I am sure 7 Eleven could have improved the quality of their hot dogs). But in terms of charitable donations and frugal living, are you going to tell me that these bigshots eat ramen noodles, purchase their clothes at Good Will, and drive used automobiles pushing 20 years old? I doubt their vacations consist of trips across state lines to purchase discount outdated cereal.

If the Republicans that received campaign contributions a number of election cycles previous from the hunter that slew Cecil the Lion are being viewed as partially responsible for this act of felinicide, does that mean that every campaign receiving donations on behalf of Planned Parenthood or the organization's operatives should be viewed as culpable in the infant organ-harvesting scandal?

If it would be inappropriate for a heterosexual man to sleep overnight in a tent with Girl Scouts, why is it appropriate for a homosexual man to sleep overnight in a tent with Boy Scouts?

In a sermon on the dangers of schism in the Body of Christ, Pastor James Cooley admonished that believers are not to let those outside of the church know what is going on inside the church particularly

in regards to disagreements and conflicts. So does that include abuse allegations and scandals? Even if not mentioned by name unless the transgressions rise to the level of the criminal, why shouldn't the public be warned that there are some churches that strive to honor the freedom found in Christ while others attempt to stifle such with elaborate system of pious-sounding man-made rules?

If the lives of military personnel cannot be protected with firearms amidst a violent assault, then why should that of the President's.

In an oration justifying the imposition of an environmental dictatorship, President Obama insisted that Black children were more likely to suffer from asthma. Maybe that is because their parents are less likely to get off their lazy backsides to clean house.

Regarding those such as Bob Barker that insist that the death penalty should be imposed upon the hunter involved in the slaying of Cecil the Lion, do these extremists call for a similar punishment for PETA operatives that have been caught in the questionable terminations of animals under the organization's care?

Pastor David Platt suggested that one should not think of small groups so much as a class imparting information but rather that a group is there to be aware of your besetting sins. All the more reason not to participate or instead to keep church members at a distance. Especially when in the same sermon one of the punishable sins included was cynicism.

As part of an accountability group, the president of the Southern Baptist Mission's Board David Platt suggests writing up a sheet of questions. Included among these was how well you have loved your wife this week. So long as you aren't loving on someone else's wife or backhanding yours across the face, is this really any of a small group's business?

On "Your World with Neil Cavuto", a Democratic Party propagandist applauded a California municipality that appointed two illegals to positions on a civic advisory board. The hypothesized that we aren't going to be able to exclude these individuals from society anyway. So if the Chinese Red Army landed an invasion force along the West Coast, should the nation automatically surrender territory since, in the words of this political strategist, "we [would] have to learn to work together."

It is claimed that Republicans and allied conservatives exposing Planned Parenthood's organharvesting side hustle are engaged in a war against women. If this is what a number of women are engaged in, why shouldn't they be warred upon? Should child support enforcement be curtailed since

such laws are as much about penalizing men as they are about providing for children?

A Christian broadcaster denounced online friendships as shallow and superficial. How is that markedly different than most offline relationships?

Exaltation Of Southern Baptist Functionaries Grows Cultic

David Platt has been elected as the head of the Southern Baptist International Missions Board.

And with the level of blind devotion called for on the part of a number of prominent Southern Baptist personalities, things are not going to end well.

From a number of statements made by former Southern Baptist Convention President and Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary President Paige Patterson, it is doubtful most Roman Catholics follow the Pope as uncritically.

For example, Patterson issued an ultimatum of ten demands that Southern Baptists are expected to abide by in relation to David Platt.

For example, obligation number four reads, "Recognition that there is not a more important man in the world than the President of the International Missions Board because of his potential to touch so many lives...for God."

In that position, Platt is essentially an administrator and bureaucrat.

Should the President of the United States be praised for the brave acts of the American soldier?

Then why praise Platt over the toils of the frontline missionary?

Another demand made by Patterson in his ultimatum is even more disturbing.

Demand number seven reads, "Willingness to do whatever Dr. Platt asks that is not contrary to our

deeply held convictions and is within our power."

Ladies and gentleman, feel free to listen to anything David Platt has to say.

However, in the final analysis, make up your own mind as to what you will do with what the Lord has given you.

You answer to the Lord Jesus Christ, not David Platt, the Southern Baptist Convention, or any other organized church body.

For while David Platt is essentially teaching that anyone responding with anything less than a willingness to serve as canon fodder for God (as He no doubt whispers in Pastor Platt's ear) is a urine deprived excuse of a Christian, if Brother Patterson had had his way, the seminary Patterson heads would have opened its doors to eventual Islamist takeover.

Some will snap that these kinds of observations are inaccurate or over exaggerated.

However, nearly every cult tragedy or church abuse scandal began with these kinds of claims and admonitions suggesting how some particular leader was so far beyond the mere pewfillers in terms of spirituality who were obligated to bow at the feet of the exalted guru.

My advice to you is that it might be best to avoid Kool Aid offered either by David Platt or his more enthusiastic supporters.

Priest Criticizes Policies Informed By Scripture

On Fox and Friends, Father Jonathan Morris criticized Republican presidential candidate Ben Carson for suggesting that America's tax system ought to be based on a flat tax system inspired by the Biblical notion of the tithe.

It was the priest's contention that one should not base governmental policies and laws directly upon Biblical passages.

Then on what grounds as a Catholic does he then

advocate pro-life activism or even the pandering to immigrants that is beginning to take root in denominations both Protestant and Catholic?

If the Bible is to provide little guidance in the sphere of government and society, should the state decide to tax religious property, will this cleric rank among the foremost in applauding such a fiscal decision?

Should Christians Avoid Apostate Literature In All Instances?

In discussing how Christians grow over time, an Evangelical broadcaster remarked that in going through some old books he came across a couple of titles by Tony Campolo he had read about fifteen years ago.

The broadcaster confessed that, given what he knows of Campolo and the Word of God today, he would probably no longer read anything by Campolo.

Most Christians grounded in the Word of God and sound theology realize that Campolo is a borderline apostate if he hasn't already crossed over the line altogether.

If someone wants to avoid Campolo's works, so be it.

That's one's right in a free society.

However, such a proclamation in such a manner as to create the impression that no one ought to read these kinds of works under any circumstances if they

want to retain good standing as a member of the broader conservative Evangelical community goes a bit overboard.

Regarding religious leftists such as Tony Campolo, should one decide to read works by such an author, the discerning must remain cautious to subtle error that says as much by what it does not say as by what it does say.

In other words, sometimes you have got to read between the lines.

But unless we ourselves conduct our own research or, perhaps more importantly are encouraged ourselves to do so, how can we be sure that those stymieing individual reflection and curiosity aren't simply out to control us for their own assorted ends?

The call to be like the Bereans requires nothing short of such sanctified suspicion on our own parts.

Thoughts Concerning Donald Trump & The Republican Presidential Debate

If Megyn Kelly is so outraged over something Donald Trump said about women on his network television program, why does she work for Rupert Murdoch who has allowed media companies under his corporate oversight to produce some bawdy and demeaning entertainment regarding women over the years and decades? For the longest time, Married With Children was Fox Entertainment's signature program.

Regarding these women that Trump is accused of referring to as pigs and dogs, perhaps shouldn't we be told who they are before passing judgment as to the propriety of his remarks?

Filling in for Chris Plant, Steve Malzberg remarked that, because of his debate performance, Rand Paul will only appeal to Rand Paul fanatics. But what about the impression exuded by Chris Christie that would flippantly abandon the Constitution and the Bill of Rights? How is it fanatically to point out that certain figures have their hands in both parties or that they are come-latelys to issues a number of the candidates have been fighting against for decades?

It is a valid question. If Donald Trump has run a number of companies into bankruptcy, why should the American voter be more confident in allowing this billionaire to administer the nation's tottering finances?

Too bad elitist culture is not as outraged over the barrage of commercials that constantly push feminine hygiene products (as well as male erectile dysfunction cures while we are at it) in viewers faces as it is over Donald Trump's allusion to feminine hygiene.

Of those sick and tired of Donald Trump constantly harping political correctness when someone dares ask him something that he doesn't like, maybe you now know how the rest of us feel about the excuse and threat of racism beating us over the head all day long.

The power elite are intertwined at the highest levels to the point that the boundaries between government, finance, and media are virtually indistinguishable. That reality makes one wonder if Trump is being opposed not because of the increasingly outrageous things to come out of his mouth but rather for exposing a number of the attitudes that may be allowed to slide by among the social engineering class if you have gobs of money to gloss over your appallingly gruff edges. Just think. Donald Trump at this point can merely shock. Many of the others in the circles he already runs in hold the power to destroy lives.

Too bad the culture is not as outraged over Donald Trump's disregard for private property as his remarks over immigrant vagrants and the female reproductive

tract.

One would think Donald Trump ought to be considered the perfect candidate. Self-absorption equaling Obama's and a proclivity towards debauchery matching Bill Clinton's.

In regards to Donald Trump. There hasn't been a presidential candidate to drone on about themselves using first person pronouns since, well, President Obama. At least Bob Dole had the decency to refer to himself by his own full name.

Perhaps Trump would be better suited as a shock jock in the tradition of Don Imus rather than in elected office.

Perhaps Rand Paul will muster himself to seize the mantle of blunt spoken populism from Donald Trump.

The concern regarding Chris Christie is to what extent will he invoke September 11th to cover over an appalling variety of Constitutional deprivations.

Donald Trump reminds of George Wallace. There is a great deal of truth to what he says. But upon further reflection, you are probably better off settling for another candidate.

A good laugh is being had that no one has ordered a sandwich full of bologna called "The Trump" at a Washington Area diner. But if someone had money to blow on a restaurant lunch, why would someone waste funds on something as blah and mundane as bologna? At McDonald's, there is a special of double cheeseburger and fries for \$2.50. At Burger King, you can often get two chicken sandwiches for \$5.00. Both of those meals are better than bologna and probably cheaper than a lunch at a greasy spoon where they will probably toss a fit if you don't leave a tip.

Would Priest Endorse Redistribution Of Catholic Resources?

On *Fox and Friends*, Father Jonathan Morris criticized Republican presidential candidate Ben Carson for suggesting that America's tax system ought to be based on a flat tax system inspired by the Biblical notion of the tithe.

It was the priest's contention that one should not base governmental policies and laws directly upon Biblical passages.

Then on what grounds as a Catholic does he then

advocate pro-life activism or even the pandering to immigrants that is beginning to take root in denominations both Protestant and Catholic?

If the Bible is to provide little guidance in the sphere of government and society, should the state decide to tax religious property, will this cleric rank among the foremost in applauding such a fiscal decision?



Photo by Frederick Meekins

Worship Chorus Sparks Doctrinal Caution

Regarding the chorus “Sweet, Sweet Spirit”, how does one know that the sweet expressions on each face are from the presence of the Lord?

If the Devil masquerades as an angel of light, what proof do we have that the expression on someone's face isn't demoniacally inspired?

Maybe they are holding back a chuckle at a dirty joke that they have recalled.

Even more importantly, isn't it dangerous to judge an individual's spiritual state on such a cosmetic basis?

What if the person had a scowl because their hemorrhoids were acting up?

Shouldn't you just be glad that the person showed up rather than give them guff about their countenance appearing insufficiently Christian?

Would The Hyper-Spiritual Rather Some Of Us Pray Not At All?

Praying for someone's physical needs is better than not praying for someone at all.

If a congregation is going to be chastised for praying primarily for physical needs, isn't that edging dangerously close to gnosticism?

In whether to pray for the physical or the spiritual, does a homiletical dichotomy of one or the other need

to be imposed?

Can't one pray for the physical along with spiritual empowerment.

It's a safe assumption that the pulpiteer poo-pooing physical ailments on a given Sunday likely isn't experiencing any or is secretly as high as a kite.

Even America's Greatest Calvinist Let Slip The Inconsistency Of Predestinarianism

A meme with text attributed to Jonathan Edwards reads in part, “If you can preach Hell and the final judgment without lifting your voice and without pleading, you sir, do not believe in Hell.”

If we are obligated to profess a predestinarian soteriology so thoroughgoing that there is no room for individual choice in the matter of salvation if we do not want our names smeared as heretics unworthy of basic constitutional rights in the form of the New

World Order advocated by certain Calvinist sectarians, what does it matter if we mention Hell with either considerable theatrics or more so in detached blase passing?

Not a single individual will end up in a region of the Afterlife other than the one in which he was preselected to be.

One does not plead with an individual unless there is the possibility of the individual changing his mind.

Pastors Exalting The Collective Over The Individual

In a sermon condemning the exaltation of the individual over the group, a pastor lamented the explosion of consumer choice that catered to the satisfaction of particular needs.

Would ministers arguing along such lines prefer command economies where bureaucrats instead don't meet any needs at all?

In the study of such societies, one notices that such regimes aren't all that big on religious liberty either.

In a sermon, a pastor went on to condemn individualism.

Instead, the minister extolled the virtue of conformity.

Given that the church the minister belonged to traces its heritage back to the Anabaptist movement, will the pastor endorse the principle that led to the persecution of his spiritual forefathers that the

inhabitants of a particular region should all belong to the spiritual confession decided upon by the governing authorities?

An Anglican minister suggested that the success of a church can be measured by comparing the number that attend the worship service with the number participating in small groups.

Small groups can be a wonderful ecclesiastical supplement if the topics addressed are of interest to an individual.

You might be able to make the case that the individual is compelled by Scripture to attend worship service.

However, there really isn't anything demanding a believer attend a small group if there are none that interest a person.

Some just aren't inspired by the prospect of going

to the dwellings of people that they barely know for no purpose other than spilling one's guts to the group

in self-denunciation like in a prisoner of war camp.

Will The Shriners Abandon Their Luciferian Trappings As Well?

The Shriners have banned the Confederate Battle Flag as offensive.

Will related Luciferian secret societies also ban their homoerotic initiation rituals as offensive?

Some researchers insist that the distinctive headgear of the Shriners known as a fez originates

from Muslims dipping their cranial coverings in Christian blood.

If nothing else, the fez is brimless so as to facilitate Islamic prayers.

Should the secret society ban this form of haberdashery as well?

Random Observations

The Justice Department is considering a policy that might place those accused of supporting ISIS in therapy rather than criminal detention. Opponents insist aspiring terrorists deserve harsher punishment. However, this should also raise concerns as it might lower the threshold for taking into custody critics of the Obama regime motivated by what secularist progressives would categorize as extremist political or religious ideologies.

Chuck Schumer is celebrated in his opposition to the Iranian Nuclear Deal as "the most prominent Jewish voice in the Senate". Does the media gush as excitedly when a legislator takes a strong conservative position based upon their Evangelicalism or Catholicism?

On "The Kelly File", Dana Parino suggested that

Republican presidential candidates should meet with the Black Lives Matter movement. While she is at it, will she also counsel consultations with the Ku Klux Klan or the Aryan Nation?

In sharing his experience regarding a mission's trip to Kenya, a Nazarene pastor badmouthed the quality of fruit available in the United States compared to that available in a tropical nation. I think I'd rather have reliable electricity and indoor plumbing.

If Cuba is good enough to establish diplomatic relations with, shouldn't it be considered good enough for tourists to visit?

Given the number of steps involved, is it really all that much of a putdown that someone had to study all night for a urine test?

World Invokes Charleston Massacre To Denigrate The Bill Of Rights

Associated Press article datelined Beijing described how the world fell into a state of shocked lamentation over the gun violence and racism believed to plague the United States in light of the horrific Charleston Church Massacre.

A number of the bewildered questioned how a liberty such as the right to bear arms as enshrined by the Second Amendment could be allowed in the U.S. Constitution. Precisely to serve as a protection and bulwark against the systematic execution of dissidents as has transpired in the People's Republic of China throughout that regime's history.

The Mexican newspaper La Jornada was quoted as saying that the United States has become a "structurally violent state where force is frequently used domestically and internationally to resolve differences." Mind you, Mexico is a Latin American country where it is not uncommon for narcoterrorists

to role decapitated heads out onto disco dance floors in order to intimidate their opponents.

Law enforcement in that corrupt land are little better. Often, there, so-called public safety officers sexually brutalize immigrants from other nations while the leadership of this neighbor to our south lectures us as to why we are to lavish upon the riffraff fleeing that failed state with the proverbial three hots and a cot while they await their single family split-level which they will proceed to stuff to the rafters with half the population of their native village.

And speaking of severed heads, dead beats from the Islamic world also proceeded to weigh in on the Charleston Church shooting as if violence never breaks out in regions where the majority of the population embraces that particular errant religion. One Indonesian intellectual bemoaned that the tragedy shocked many. But more so than the decapitation and

ghastly execution videos perfected by Al Qada and now the organization's ISIS spin off as a propaganda technique?

The article went on to say, "In Britain, the attack reinforced the view that America has too many guns and too many racists" and "the obscene proliferation of guns only magnifies tragedies."

For you see, the residents of Britain tend to be a bit old fashioned when they want to kill someone for harboring beliefs with which they disagree. They just grab personnel from a nearby military base and knife them along the side of the road as they proceed to videotape a pronouncement drenched in their victim's blood. This must be considered across the pond the epitome of artisanal craftsmanship and civility.

Of the shootings, an interviewed Japanese patent attorney reflected, "Racially motivated killings are simply something the Japanese as a people cannot understand." As an ethnicity inclined towards economics and efficiency, one supposes so. After all, why outrightly murder someone when they can make perfectly acceptable sex slaves first, a fate inflicted upon numerous Koreans forced to serve as "comfort women".

Critics will respond that that atrocity was decades ago. Indeed it was. Just as were the shortcomings that assorted minority front groups and agitators continue to harp upon no matter how many set asides and entitlement programs are lavished upon them.

Of the shooting, a Philippine human rights activist said, "That would be no different from a suicide bomber. For a jihadist says, 'I will be with Allah if I do that.' The other says, 'I am proving white supremacy here'."

That comparison depends upon how you look at it.

The comparison between the jihadist and mass murderer Dylan Roof is accurate from the standpoint

of each of these terrorists having embraced false belief systems inspiring each adherent to perpetrate the vilest of acts violating God's eternal absolutes in the pursuit of a Satanic objective. However, there are also differences that the astute observer of this kind of phenomena must be diligent to point out.

Across America, even those willing to take a stand on behalf of the Confederate flag (despite the almost dictatorial opposition galvanized against this symbol of Southern heritage) are repulsed and sickened by the actions of human pus wad Dylan Roof. If anything, these "rednecks" and "hayseeds" are among the few trodding this earth consistent in their call to apply the death penalty against anyone that takes an innocent human life.

However, things are markedly different in the Muslim world. There, on 9/11, exuberant Palestinians took to the streets in celebration. The way children were given candy to commemorate the event brings to mind the prophecy in the Book of Revelation when gifts will be exchanged to celebrate the Anti-Christ executing the Two Witnesses whose bodies will lie in the streets of Jerusalem until they are risen from the dead for all the world to see.

To his credit, one Indonesian intellectual said, "Terrorism and radicalism can appear in every strata of society under various guises and in the name of ethnicity, religion and race."

Those pulling the trigger or lighting the fuse to harm the body and stoke the initial fear are obviously the most guilty in regards to this profound variety of crime. However, the greater injury inflicted might instead be by those attempting to capitalize on these tragedies to manipulate those freedoms much easier to surrender than they will be to back once the immediate danger has passed.

Some Evangelists Have A Racket Going

That's quite a racket some missionaries and evangelists have got.

Pretty much go to the same church once every five years or so and essentially preach the same message. I didn't have a problem so much with the content of the message.

However, I was profoundly repulsed by the speaker for the second time essentially having those that would stand against the Anti-Christ to come forward, with those remaining in the pews made to look like they were closet devil worshipers.

Creeped out by the Jonestown vibe given off by

such manipulative histrionics, as the easily controllable dutifully went forward, as with the last time this speaker was at church, I got up and walked out.

It was too bad I could not muster the courage to say "Hail Satan!" if that is the kind of impression and suspicion this revivalist seems to employ as a regular part of his homiletical repertoire.

If one is to be so concerned about the Anti-Christ, isn't conditioning people to comply with totalitarian authorities without question part of readying the world for that tyrant's takeover?

If people feel led to walk forward in church to get their lives right with God, they should be encouraged to do so.

However, when you rhetorically manipulate a congregation in such a way where those not yielding to the invitation of the message are the ones remaining

in the pews, the homilist has crossed a line of propriety.

Is the speaker so naive that he does not realize that most are coming forward merely out of a compulsion towards group conformity rather from out of a sense of sincere religious devotion?

Governor Kaisch Undermines Borders & Culture

Presidential candidate John Kaisch says it is inhumane to deport illegal alien families.

One must presume that there was a time when John Kaisch did not own any particular domiciles that he does now.

When he returns home, would it be inhumane for him to repel from his premises someone that has taken up residence during his absence because these holdings were at one time not his?

And when Chinese invasion forces one day land on America's shores, will it be inhumane to repel them from our territories as well?

While we are at it, maybe Kasich can call for the repeal of the Third Amendment if it is inhumane to deny anyone access to your living quarters.

Maybe every American ought to be compelled to take in foreign borders who will proceed to soil the property beyond repair.

Oprah Finances Supremacism

Shouldn't tolerancemongers be even more outraged at Oprah Winfrey for financing an Afrosupremacist scholarship rather than at a White dude attempting to take advantage of these funds by passing himself off as Black?

Some will respond that Oprah Winfrey should be allowed to bestow her funds on anyone she desires for the purposes of furthering someone's education.

Would those making such broadminded pronouncements in favor of individual liberty maintain that same position if Pat Buchanan endowed

a similar scholarship for which only non-Jewish Caucasians would be eligible?

And if we are to be psychologically conditioned to reflexively respond that race does not exist, on what grounds one condemn someone for claiming to be something that does not exist?

If someone claimed that they were Kryptonian, it's doubtful the story would make it onto the nightly news unless in a deluded state they leaped from a tall building in a single bound only to go splat on the concrete below.

White Cuisine Matters

In reference to an upcoming international supper, a pastor remarked that he was tired of spaghetti and meatballs offered during such contrived celebrations.

Instead, he insisted upon a real international experience.

Does that mean someone will be bringing goat eyeballs, dysentery, and a stomach pump?

In encouragement of his request, the pastor remarked how he often brags to others regarding the international nature of his congregation.

This is worthy of a few observations.

First, isn't to invite parishioners to bring a dish reflective of their heritage and then to badmouth spaghetti from the pulpit a denigration of those of Italian heritage?

Secondly, if we are to hold to the principle that

individuals are to be judged not by the color of their skin but rather by the content of their character, isn't it as much of a sin for a pastor to brag how international his congregation is as it would be for a minister from the deep south to celebrate that his congregation was all White?

Thirdly, does a smattering of families from perhaps three or four other countries constitute an international congregation?

For despite a few cultural differences, don't most American Blacks eat nearly the same foods as American Whites?

Interesting how American food isn't good enough for a church dinner but American money is certainly demanded for the collection plate.

Why Shouldn't Mitch McConnell Be Called A Liar?

A poll asks do you approve of Ted Cruz calling Mitch McConnell a liar on the floor of the Senate?

If the shoe fits, why not?

One of the reasons the country is in the mess that it is that so many of these politicians will drone on and on within the halls of the legislature employing faux

rhetoric such as “My good colleague from the state of such and such.”

Then afterwards they will go get liquored up together and even bed the same whore with each other's compliments.

Does Black Lives Matter Advocate Ghetto Violence?

A throng of Black Lives Matters malcontents disrupted an address by Washington, DC Mayor Muriel Bowser.

At this event, a number of crime fighting initiatives were announced. Among those that sparked these protests were proposals to deploy more police officers on the streets and to search for prohibited firearms in the homes of convicts on parole.

This is how this translates for those that adhere to

common sense or at least a limited degree of logic.

Any other time, these subversives want guns taken away from law abiding citizens.

However, according to this civic disruption, firearms apparently ought to remain in the hands of those with criminal records that have proven themselves incapable of handling this constitutional responsibility so that they may continue to victimize innocent citizens.

Is Cal Thomas Sailing Into Hypocrisy?

In his book *Blinded By Might*, columnist Cal Thomas suggested that funds spent in pursuit of political agendas should instead be directed towards causes more directly related to the Gospel and criticized how direct fund raising appeals are largely negative in tone.

Then why is he now shilling for a Media Research Center cruise to the Caribbean?

Why are elites such as himself allowed to wallow in ostentatious luxury while they instruct the rest of us how to allocate every spare dime?

Random Observations

Regarding this “Who Do You Think You Are” genealogy program. You yourself are not guilty if your ancestors held slaves. Likewise, it says nothing of your own goodness in terms of the race issue if your ancestors were involved with the abolition movement.

If people feel led to walk forward in church to get their lives right with God, they should be encouraged to do so. However, when you rhetorically manipulate a congregation in such a way where those not yielding to the invitation of the message are the ones remaining in the pews, the homilist has crossed a line of propriety. Is the speaker so naive that he does not realize that most are coming forward merely out of a compulsion towards group conformity rather from out of a sense of sincere religious devotion?

Father Jonathan Morris said that discussing the possibility of human/robot marriage on the Greg Gutfeld Show had to be the low point of his career.

But shouldn't he consider the opportunity to be on the cutting edge of moral reflection? Just a few years ago, didn't gay marriage seem like a similar kind of impossibility?

On *The Five*, Geraldo claimed Donald Trump's proposal to deport the illegal alien families of American born children would be inhumane. Geraldo then invoked the image of Elian Gonzalez being apprehended by federal agents. Did he get as worked up regarding the Branch Davidian children or the family of Randy Weaver? Does he speak out as vigorously against crimes committed by the illegal aliens?

Establishment shill Dana Perino insisted that Trump's proposal to deport illegal families would be impractical. Had she been in the Poland of the 1940's, she would have probably said the same thing about repelling the Wehrmacht. Should the Red Chinese land troops in her lily White native Wyoming, would

she be singing the same tune?

Filling in for Mark Levin, Dan Bongino droned on and on about privatizing Social Security. Fine and dandy. But what would be done to protect the elderly from falling into destitution and starvation should the economy tank even further?

When asked if the Clinton server had been wiped, Hillary responded, "What? Like with a cloth or something?" The presidential candidate must have thought that the reporter was inquiring as to Bill's procedure to clean up his genetic residue after he has had his way with the hired help.

Contrary to Greg Gutfeld, proliferators are not morally obligated to allow anchorbabies to remain here. If one country is no better than any other as insisted by the cultural relativists, why can't families remain unified on the other side of the border? Especially in light of how Evangelicals such as Dr. Dobson and Russell Moore attempting to ingratiate themselves with Hispanosupremacist subversives constantly harp the propaganda regarding how family-oriented Mexican culture is.

The Frederick County Council voted to repeal a law that made English the jurisdiction's official language. Supporters applauding this call for linguistic surrender insisted that the legislation authorizing an explicit elocutionary preference sent the wrong message. Apparently the only acceptable message in the postmodern era is that we despise the United States in general and White America in particular to such an extent that we will do everything within our power to bring about our own social demise and eventual cultural destruction.

The children born to illegal aliens violating our borders can be allowed to remain as U.S. citizens. However, it does not follow that the parents of such children should be allowed to remain here. If they desire to remain with the child, they can return with the child to the family's country of origin. If they desire the child to remain in the United States, they may be allowed to surrender the child to a loving American family and sever any future claims to the child.

If governments are prepared to destroy property and ruin lives to punish businesses refusing to comply with the tyranny of sodomite matrimony, why is it an outrage to deport illegal alien families?

On an episode of American Pickers, the itinerant scroungers bartered with someone with Iron Eyes Cody memorabilia. Given that he was Italian rather than American Indian, doesn't that make him the Rachel Dolezal or Shaun King of his day?

Isn't saying one is not going to run a negative campaign itself a statement of negativity?

Why are Americans wanting to send anchor babies back to their family's country of origin meanspirited but not the Mexicans unwilling to open their borders and public treasury to the foreign born.

If convicted of manslaughter, since he still has a penis, will BRUCE Jenner be tossed in the ladies or gents slammer?

If someone is going to publicly comment that they had a good vacation and recommended the destination but weren't going to tell the audience where they had been but would only reveal such in private conversation if asked, that must have been one humdinger of a nudist beach or kinky sex club.

If a pastor applauds from the pulpit the remarks of Pittsburgh Steeler James Harrison that sometimes doing one's best still isn't good enough for recognition, perhaps the ongoing decline in the offering is apparently a reflection of that universal truth.

If it is improper for a Christian to judge the validity or depth of another Christian's faith on the basis of certain behaviors or actions, why doesn't this principle apply to a woman that wears otherwise modest pants?

If one is going to criticize Christians critical of other Christians, why would one identify quite explicitly with the Christian Independent Fundamentalist movement?

If a White gunman had murdered two Black broadcasters on live TV, wouldn't the horrific deed have been categorized as a hate crime by now?

In light of the broadcasters murdered on live TV, Virginia Governor Terry McAuliffe observed that there is too much gun violence in America. But as in the case of this particular gunman, the vast majority of these killers have more in common politically with the Governor than with either the NRA or the Tea Party movement.

If the Pope is going to badmouth the United States for widespread use of air conditioning, does he intend to level similar criticism against a Spanish festival where the main event is a massive tomato fight?

Donald Trump is correct that the on air murder of two broadcasters is not about guns. But shouldn't Donald Trump be among the last to call for increased scrutiny of those society deems as mentally imbalanced?

Wanting to continue to make whoopee with his foreign-born wife, Jeb Bush insists that journalists deserve more dignity than what Donald Trump extended to Univision propagandist Jorge Ramos.

What should happen now is for someone from a Tea Party news outlet or perhaps even Breitbart to go into a Jeb Bush press conference and to begin heckling or disrupting from the get go to see if they will be treated any better or if what Jeb is enunciating is merely a double standard favoring his fellow Hispanosupremacists.

By utilizing a formula that takes into consideration older poll results to determine who qualifies for a slot in the debate the network is to host, isn't CNN attempting to manipulate results in favor of establishmentarian elites?

Are Evangelicals Too Quick To Embrace Bonhoeffer As One Of Their Own?

In a column regarding Dietrich Bonhoeffer, shouldn't Cal Thomas have been a bit more reserved in his praise of the theologian executed by the Nazis?

Bonhoeffer should be honored for his stand against tyranny and for modeling many of the values Christians strive to incorporate into their own lives such as standing up for what they believe to be right even when it is not the popular thing to do.

But in terms of belief and doctrine, Bonhoeffer is far from being the ideal Christian many of our religious leaders uplift him to be.

According to Biblical Discernment Ministries, Bonhoeffer undermined the sinlessness of Jesus, downplayed individual salvation (instead equating that eternal state with church membership), and suggested that Christ's Resurrection was not so much

an historical event but rather a mythological one (a fancy way of saying that the event is probably just a story from which we can draw inspiration but not likely one that actually transpired).

In the Christian life, one's profession of faith must be backed by more than mere words.

However, since grace is by faith and that not of ourselves, neither can one rely on one's works if there is not a solid doctrinal foundation there to back up the eternality of such deeds.

It is when we downplay the objective reality of the Savior that we open ourselves up to a wide variety of spiritual delusions that not only endanger ourselves but also threaten those around us.

Let Hungerstrikers Starve

Ecclesiastical rabblouser Jim Wallis went on a fast in protest over cuts to social programs in the Congressional budget.

Too bad he didn't starve to death.

That's so harsh, leftists will snap.

It must be pointed out that Wallis pulls these kinds of stunts on his own.

No vile conservatives withheld or denied him access to food.

Interestingly, in light of Wallis' acceptance of abortion and gay unions hidden behind verbal obfuscations to deceive all but the most discerning, apparently fasting might be one of the few Biblical practices that he takes seriously.

However, in his zeal to show how superspiritual he is, it seems Wallis can't even engage in this practice in

an appropriately Biblical manner.

According to Matthew 6:16-18, aren't you supposed to comport yourself in such a way that no one else other than God is supposed to know that you are conducting a fast?

Wallis does not seem to so much utilize fasts as a way to draw closer to God but rather as a way to express his profound hatred of the American way of life and the free market system.

In his 3/13/11 Sojourn Newsletter, Wallis lamented, "I have been astounded how food is everywhere in our culture...America is obese because of the assault of food --- an idolatry made of something that was meant to both sustain us and bring community in our social relations."

In other words, Wallis does not so much want you

to make your own free decision to join him in this form of physicalized prayer.

Ideally, what Wallis longs to see is a deprivation imposed from above upon those in the despised

“middling orders” unable to rise to the level of mystic contemplation preferred by Wallis and his gnostic elites.

Baptist Church Mocks The Pretribulational Rapture

In a SermonAudio podcast, the pastoral staff of Berean Baptist Church in Fayetteville, North Carolina mocked those holding to a pretribulational view of the Rapture.

It was snidely remarked that most American Christians cannot handle the idea of enduring systematic global persecution.

Maybe so.

But unless this church offers survivalist training that includes the use of firearms and improvised explosive devices, aren't these pastors suffering profound cognitive dissonance as to what they profess to be coming?

Even worse, wouldn't they be guilty of an appalling degree of pastoral negligence in failing to prepare

those subjected to their spiritual teaching?

Criticisms such as those enunciated by the pastoral staff are also thinly veiled insults that Americans have things too comfortable.

But what about this particular congregation?

For when the armies and operatives of the Anti-Christ besiege the nation, won't this church's sprawling entertainment center with its coffee bar and such make a tempting target?

For this church is so rich that, despite going out of its way to inform the world how much the pastoral staff despises the American flag, there isn't simply a single flagpole on their property but at least five in front of the entrance to this sprawling complex in its SermonAudio profile photo.

About The Author:

The Calloused Digit is the newsletter of [Issachar Bible Church & Apologetics Research Institute](#). Frederick Meekins holds a Bachelor of Science in Political Science & History from the University of Maryland, a Master of Apologetics & Christian Philosophy from Trinity Theological Seminary, a Doctor of Practical Theology from Master's International School of Divinity, and a Doctor of Divinity from Slidell Baptist Seminary. Dr. Meekins is pursuing a PhD. in Christian Apologetics from Newburgh Theological Seminary. Recipients of this newsletter are granted permission to freely pass along its contents provided proper credit is attributed.